

MONTHLY MEETING AGENDA

July 8, 2010 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952 9:00 a.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT Status

1. Public Comment Chairman Pringle I

An opportunity for public comment will be provided to address any public agenda item.

Agenda ACTION Items <u>Responsible Party</u> <u>Status</u>

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes CEO Roelof van Ark A

Committee Reports

3. Audit Review Committee Report

Chairman Pringle A

The Audit Review Committee will report to the Authority Board on the following matters from its June 21 meeting:

- Bureau of State Audits 60-Day Follow-Up

*(see the June 21 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail)

4. Executive/Administrative Committee Report

Chairman Pringle

Δ

The Executive/Administrative Committee will report to the Authority Board on the following matters:

- FRA and Transbay Joint Powers Authority MOU
- FRA and HSRA MOU

*(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail) **Operations Committee Report Board member Katz** Recommendations from the Operations Committee regarding: - Monthly Program Management Team report - Monthly Program Management Oversight report *(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail) Finance Committee Report Board member Α Umberg Recommendations from the Finance Committee regarding: - Financial consultant RFP *(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail) Other Action Items 7. Election of Officers Chairman Pringle Α The Board will elect from among itself a chairman and vice-chairman. This election takes place annually. Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) CEO Roelof van Ark, Α **Tony Daniels** Staff will recommend that a MOU with BNSF be signed to cover joint engineering activities; to include a provision about compensating BNSF for the required work. **Phase 1 Section Environmental Review Updates** 9. Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Report – Los Angeles to Anaheim CEO Roelof van Ark, Α

Staff will describe and make recommendations on the alignment, station, and maintenance facility alternatives in the Los Angeles – Anaheim section, and the Board will have an opportunity to provide direction on the alternatives to carry

forward for further study.

Tony Daniels

- Board Policies and Procedures

*(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at <u>www.cahisghspeedrail.ca.gov</u> by the morning of July 8)

10. Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Preliminary Report – Palmdale to Los Angeles

Dan Leavitt

Α

Staff will describe and make recommendations on the alignment, station, and maintenance facility alternatives in the Palmdale – Los Angeles section, timed with the release of the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report for the section.

*(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at www.cahisghspeedrail.ca.gov by the morning of July 8)

11. Initial Alternatives Analysis Report – Bakersfield to Palmdale

Carrie Bowen

Α

Staff will describe the initial alternatives in the Bakersfield – Palmdale section, timed with the release of the Initial Alternatives Analysis Report for the section.

*(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at <u>www.cahisghspeedrail.ca.gov</u> by the morning of July 8)

12. Alternatives Analysis Preliminary Report – Fresno to Bakersfield

Carrie Bowen

Α

Staff will clarify that the presentation and recommendations at the June 3, 2010, Board meeting concerning the alternatives in the Fresno – Bakersfield HST section, as presented in the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report, include alignments, station options, and heavy maintenance facility sites for study in the HST project EIR/EIS.

*(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at www.cahisghspeedrail.ca.gov by the morning of July 8)

Agenda INFORMATION Items	Responsible Party	<u>Status</u>
13. Members' Reports	Chairman Pringle	I
14. CEO's Report	CEO Roelof van Ark	I
15. Gateway Cities Council of Governments	Jeffrey M. Barker	1

An opportunity will be provided for the Gateway Cities Council of Governments, an MOU partner with the Authority, to brief the Board on its involvement and interests in the high-speed train project.

16. Berkeley ITS Ridership Model Critique

Dan Leavitt

UC Berkeley's Institute of Transportation Studies (ITS) has been invited to present its critique of the high-speed rail ridership model and forecasts used by the Authority. This critique was requested by the state Senate Transportation Committee, which asked the Authority to contract with Berkeley ITS for the review. Authority staff and Cambridge Systematics will also discuss the critique.

<u>Closed Session(s)</u> <u>Responsible Party</u> <u>Status</u>

17. Pertaining to Litigation

Legal Counsel A

Ι

The Authority will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code sections 11126(e)(1) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

- (a) Town of Atherton et al. v. California High Speed Rail Authority, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 34-2008-80000022,
- (b) Peterson et al. v. California High Speed Rail Authority, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2010-00069687, and
- (c) Brown et al. v. Peninsula Joint Powers Board and California High-Speed Rail Authority, Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2010-00075672.

"A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item - Items may be taken out of order

See also:

Executive/Administrative Committee July 7, 2010 Meeting Agenda

Finance Committee July 7, 2010 Meeting Agenda

Operations Committee July 7, 2010 Meeting Agenda

Contact:

Rachel Wall rwall@hsr.ca.gov

This message was sent from California High-Speed Rail Authority. It was sent from: California High-Speed Rail Authority, 925 L Street, Suite 1425, Sacramento, California 95814. You can modify/update your subscription via the link below.

